1		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/459,479	9	YAMAZOE ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Scott A Rog	gers	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
1)[This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
2a) <u></u> 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,21,25,30,55,57,59,60,64,67-71 and 73-76</u> is/are rejected.				
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/459,479

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 55, 64, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kurashige (US 6088487).

Kurashige discloses the image processing method, apparatus, system, and software for modifying a first image to obtain a second image on the basis of a first signal obtained by extracting an edge of a first image (col. 2, line 37 to col. 2, line 35), and a second signal obtained by reducing the number of tone levels (col. 3, lines 36-44), which inherently increases brightness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/459,479

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 21, 25, 30, 57, 59-60, and 67-71, 74, and 76 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurashige as applied to claims 1, 55, 64, and 73 above, and further in view of well known prior art (MPEP 2144.03).

While Kurashige does not disclose the first image being a photo (claim 21), output of the second image onto a recording medium (claims 25, 57, 67, and 76), user input to select image modification modes including a monochromatic conversion mode (claims 30, 59-60, 71, and 74), and image input device such as a digital camera or scanner for input of the first image (claims 68-70), these are all notoriously well known features in the prior art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the well known features recited in the claims as indicated above in Kurashige in order to have wider application for input image sources and output image media, and to allow user control to achieve improved output results according to the user's desires and sensitivities, and desired output.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 775 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/459,479

Art Unit: 2626

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-20, 22-24, 26-29, 31-54, 56, 58, 61-63, 65-66, and 72 are objected to

as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening

claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers by telephone at 703-305-4726 and by e-

mail address at scott.rogers@uspto.gov.

The official fax number for Technology Center 2600 where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to TC 2600 Customer Service at 703-306-0377.

SCOTT ROGERS

PRIMARY EXAMINER

25 August 2003